

pl

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/669,594	09/26/2000	Prasad Raje	004426.P001	5001
Blakley Sokolo	7590 04/17/2007 off Taylor & Zafman LLP		EXAM	INER
12400 Wilshire	Boulevard Seventh Floor		BASHORE, WILLIAM L	WILLIAM L
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2176	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	PAYS	04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/669,594	RAJE, PRASAD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William L. Bashore	2176	٠
· The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poly be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	-
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 F</u>	Sehruary 2007		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•		3 10
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>126-167</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>126-167</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
·· _	·	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			` '
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)		•	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application 	•

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 126-154, drawn to Document Processing/Form Creation, classified in class 715, subclass 506.
 - II. Claims 155-167, drawn to Master-Slave Computer Controlling, classified in class 709, subclass208.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are as follows:

3. Invention I is substantially directed to processing of forms, which is drawn to Document Processing/Form Creation.

Invention II is substantially directed to allowing a user to remotely configure a first program's functions at a second location, which is drawn to Master-Slave Computer Controlling.

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, 7. applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be 8. directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4088. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm EST.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER

April 14, 2007